The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte CLAIRE A. CAJACOB and JINGDONG LIU

Appeal No. 2004-1725 Application 09/233,218

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

MAILED

FEB - 1 2006

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Before FLEMING, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, HARKCOM, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, ADAMS, Administrative <u>Patent Judge</u>.

Per curiam.

On April 28, 2003, appellants filed a Notice of Appeal. On January 17, 2006, appellants filed a communication requesting that this application be expressly abandoned under 37 C.F.R. §1.138(a) upon entry of the Amendment filed January 6, 2006. Appellants also noted that a continuation application was filed on January 11, 2006.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed April 28, 2003, is dismissed.

Appeal No. 2004-1725 Application 09/233,218

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Michael R. Fleming, Chief Administrative Patent Judge

Gary V. Hark com, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge

Donald E. Adams Administrative Patent Judge **BOARD OF PATENT**

APPEALS AND

INTERFERENCES

David R. Marsh Arnold & Porter, LLP ATTN: IP Docketing Dept. 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1206

dem